ORDINANCE NO. 722

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE BWNEXATION OF CERTAIN UNINHABITED TERRITORY, DESIGNATED "NORTH LOMA DRIVE ADDITION" TO THE
CITY OF LODI

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI:

WHEREAS, on the 7th day of March, 1962, the Council of the City of Lodi did pass and adopt Resolution No. 2518 giving notice of the proposal to annex certain uninhabited territory to the City af Lodi, said territory being therein designated as "North Loma Drive Addition", said Resolution describing the boundaries of the territory proposed to be annexed; and

WHEREAS, said Resolution No. 2518 did contain a notice of the day, hour and place when and where the Council of the City would hear protests made by any person owning real property within the territory proposed to be annexed, the time of said hearing being not less than forty nor more than sixty days from the date of passage of said Resolution; and

WHEREAS, said Resolution was duly published in accordance with law; and

whereas, on the 17th day of April 1962, at the hour of 8 p.m., in the Council Chambers in the City Hall of the City of Lodi, County of San Joa in, State of California, said time and place being the day, ho and place fixed in said Resolution No. 2518 for hearing protests to the said annexation, the said City Council did hear and pass upon all protests made to the proposed annex—ation and did—determine that protests had not been made by the owners of one—half of the value of the privately—owned territory proposed to be annexed as shown by the last equalized assessment roll, nor by public owners of one—half of the value of the publicly—owned territory proposed to be annexed as determined by said legislative body; and

WHEREAS, said territory is contiguous to the City of Lodi and is uninhabited territory in the County of San Joaquín; and

WHEREAS, the Planing Commission has recommended that the territory proposed far annexation be classified in the R-2 one-family residential district;

NOW, THEREFORE, the said Council of the City of Lodi does hereby approve the annexation of the territory hereinafter described to the City of Lodi, and does hereby further ordain that the said territory be and is hereby annexed to the City of Lodi and said territory is hereby alassified in the R-2 one-family residential district.

That said territory, the annexation of which to the City of Lodi is herein approved, is all that territory situate in the County of San Joaquin, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

A portion of the Northwest Quarter of Section 2, Township 3 North, Range 6 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, San Joaquin County, California, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of Lot 25, Gerard Traot, as filed for record on August 8, 1916 in Volume 8, page 62, San Joaquin County Records; thence Southerly 757.34 feet along the East lines of Lots 25, 28, 33 and 36, Gerard Tract, this line also a boundary line of the City of Lodi, to the North line of Lookeford Street (formerly Lockeford Avenue); thence Westerly along the North line of Lockeford Street, also a City Limit line, 442.8 feet to the centerline of Loma Drive (also formerly Lockeford Avenue); thence Northerly along the centerline of Lama Drive, also a City Limit line, 757.34 feet to the extended North line of Lot 25, Gerard Tract; thence Easterly along the North line of said Lot 25, 442.8 feet to the point of beginning; the area thus described containing 7.7 acres more or less,

Approved this 2nd day of May 1962

BOZANT KATZAKIAN, Mayor

Attest? BEATRICE GARIBALDI, City Clerk

State of California

County of San Joaquin, ss.

I, BEATRICE GARIBALDI, City Clerk of the City of Lodi, do hereby certify that Ordinance No. 722 was introduced at an adjourned regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Lodi held April 17, 1962 and was thereafter passed, adopted and ordered to print at a regular meeting held May 2, 1962 by the following vote:

AYES: Councilman - BROWN, CULBERTSON, DOW, ULLMANN and KATZAKIAN

NOES: Councilmen - NONE

ABSENT: Councilmen - NONE

I further certify that Ordinanoe No. 722 was approved and signed by the Mayor on the date of its passage and that the sane has been published pursuant to law.